R

SPRINGFIELD COMMUNITY PRESERVATION FULL APPLICATION COVER SHEET

I. PROJECT INFORMATION				
CPA program area - check al	that apply:			有57 30 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
■ community housing	■ historic preser	vation	open space	■ recreation
Project/Program Title: City of	Homes Living M	luseum	and Related Re	storation
Brief Project/Program Summar Funds are requested to support the Kibbe House, Architectural self-guided tours of the McKni to support restoration of threat	y: ort actual costs of in I Crafts Center at th ght National Regist	nproveme ne Washt ter Histori	ents to Welcome Ce ourn House, and int c District. In additio	enter and Museum at erpretive signage for n funds are requested
estimated start date	Nov. 1, 2021	estimate	ed completion date	Sep. 30, 2022
II. APPLICANT INFORMATI	ON	. E		
Type of Applicant - check one				
City of Springfield	Ion-Profit Organizat	tion 🔲	For-Profit Business	
Name of Organization: McKn	ight CDC and M	cKnight	District Improver	ment Ass'n
Name of Contact Person: Dav				
Mailing Address: 1030 Worth	ington Street			
Mailing City/State/Zip:Springt	ield, MA 01109			
Phone: (413) 301-6308		Fax: (4	13) 259-0052	
Email: mcknightcdc@gmail	.com			
Website:mcknighthistoric.or	g			
III. BUDGET SUMMARY	674	•		
Estimated Project Budget: \$3,	850,000			
CPA Funding Request: \$362,5	600			
Will you be seeking multi-year f	unding 🔳 Ye	s [No	
CPA Request as Percentage of	Total Project/Progr	ram Budç	get: 5%	
List Other Secured Funding Sou Cooperative Development Inst	rces: itute, Inc. for \$500,0	000, etc		
List Other Prospective Funding : Massachusetts Preservation P Service, private foundations	Sources: rojects Fund, Natio	nal Endo	wment for the Huma	anities, National Park
Applicant Signature:	Coord ms	Tab	7 Date Subn	nitted: 4/1/202

City of Homes Living Museum and McKnight Restoration Project

OVERVIEW

Pioneer Architect and City Planner Daniel Burnham enjoined us to "Make no little plans", and we feel that perhaps we have been guilty in the past of not listening to this important principle and as a result our overall intentions have remained unclear. Let us therefore be clear: It is our intention to plan and work for a McKnight District that is both restored to preserve its architectural integrity and renovated to perpetuate its useful life into the next century as well as the balance of this century. We feel proper use of Community Preservation funds should play a vital part in making this vision a reality.

Further, just as it is clear that resources are perennially limited, it is also clear that they therefore should be used wisely, and should typically be used to support increments of some larger plan or vision, such as the one we are sharing.

Therefore this year, as we submit our application for Community Preservation Funds, we would ask that you see this request, and perhaps related requests, as parts of a larger plan of which this is just a small part.

OUR CONCEPTION OF PRESERVATION OF THE McKNIGHT HISTORIC DISTRICT

In our view the McKnight District is a unique and collaborative creation of many people over many years, including the McKnight Brothers and their collaborators, but also including those who came before them to lay out the area and start the community earlier in the $19^{\rm th}$ Century, and those in the $20^{\rm th}$ Century who appreciated the residential community that had been created, and therefore worked to preserve and enhance it, sometimes despite the actions of outsiders.

We feel that this is notable for the more or less intentional development of a residential community created the model for the many subsequently-developed neighborhoods that made Springfield the City of Homes, and that it is also notable for the fact that McKnight was not only one of the physically best-preserved street car suburbs in the Nation when it was designated as historic in the 1970s, but also that it was one of the few communities in the Nation that was not subject to full "Racial resegregation" in the 1950s and 1960s. All of these accomplishments are worthy of note, and McKnight should be preserved for future generations to tell all these stories.

OUR CONCEPTION OF THE CITY OF HOMES LIVING MUSEUM

The purpose of the "City of Homes Living Museum" is to carry out the interpretive portion of that purpose, to collect and interpret the story of the development of McKnight and those of the other neighborhoods that grew up following the development of McKnight, and tell that story to people, both local people and visitors, so that they can appreciate what was accomplished and learn from those accomplishments.

In our view the museum will have four components, a Welcome Center, which we have started construction of in the George Kibbe Mansion, an Architectural Crafts Center, which we project will be housed in the ample Carriage house of the Washburn House on Westminster Street, connected by a series of interpreted "living exhibits', mostly houses, around the neighborhood, and supported by an online historical database accessible to both physical and virtual visitors.

We see this as stating modestly and then growing in the coming years to become an integral part of the tourist and student field trip maps of the area, comparable to Sturbridge Village but oriented to McKnight primary periods of significance in the later 19th and 20th Centuries. In fact as time goes by we see incorporating elements of streetcar and automotive history into the total 'Living museum' experience. In support of this concept we have attached a list of sites that might be highlighted, as well as a rendering of a possible interpretive sign.

We would note that we are working with other funding sources to support this effort, but we would like to see some support from the Community Preservation Committee, as this will help to leverage other support.

GENERAL CONCEPTION OF APPROPRIATE RESTORATION PROGRAMS FOR BOTH BUILDINGS AND COMMON AREAS

At the same time we are developing the "Museum" we are also interested in preserving and restoring the physical resource which is the neighborhood's entire architectural heritage, not just the houses but also the carriage houses, schools, streets, and street furniture, as well as the park spaces. All of these were part of the original development and all should be preserved as much as possible in the context of changing times.

Therefore we see the preservation as a mission to preserve the architecture of the whole district, rather than any sort of 'Welfare program' for 'Eligible families'. We like to see people 'Have skin in the game' but we also feel that preservation of close to 1,000 Victorian houses is a long-term task that resources should be advanced, where possible, on a loan basis so that they can be recovered over time for future use. In addition we are especially unhappy with the message that people seem to be getting that properties that are "Only" on the National Register are "Not historic" and that they can and should carry out whatever inappropriate work they might wish to.

Even the church that received a CPA grant last year seems to have gotten this message somewhere and stripped the Cedar shingles off their National Register-designated meeting house and covered it with vinyl siding. Other unfortunate work has been carried out with City approval on houses heavily subsidized by the City through the auction program. These kinds of things are very visible and provide the message that this is the sort of work that is appropriate. That idea needs to be counteracted.

We therefore identified in our selection of houses for the revolving fund program a balance of properties inside and outside the "Local district", but that program has not yet moved forward.

In our plan for future activities we would project that the entire district MUST be included, and that visible restoration of buildings previously "Renovated" inappropriately should be a primary target, especially in those in prominent locations on arterial streets.

Beyond houses we feel that this must include the parks and open spaces that were designed into the district. By this we mean the "Dingle", Dartmouth Terrace, the four triangles, and Magazine Playground. Tapley Playground was lost to development, but can be considered to have been replaced by the playground at Johnson School, and Lafayette and Dorchester Rests are not really focal areas, and suggest that support for projects that are potentially damaging to the livability of nearby houses should be avoided.

For the Dingle we endorse the restoration of the 'Sledding hill" that was proposed two years ago, as well as improvement of the drainage and walks, and for Magazine Park we are co-sponsoring with Chess Angels an application for re-creation of the structure for the park superintendent's office and lavatories that was demolished some years ago, along a memorial to Gen Knox and Gen Washington's location of the National Arsenal there, with chess tables and easels for local artists, and artistic embellishment of the back boards by local artists.

Finally, although we tend to talk about preserving historic buildings, all these buildings were built in a context, and that context needs to be maintained and sometime restored to be supportive of preservation of the historic buildings.

The need for this is especially acute in McKnight on Bay Street, St James Avenue, and Worthington Street, where increasingly out-of-control traffic damages the historic structures, damages the health of residents, and threatens resident's lives and limbs. Restoration of these streets to a level of activity more consistent with that when the community was developed would go a long way to encouraging people to continue to live on these streets, which are integral to the total the neighborhood.

This is why we are proposing development of a 'Traffic Dampening Plan' as part of the overall restoration plan. We feel that this should potentially include the kinds of street architecture alterations that have been made in other City neighbor-hoods and in other cities. We also feel that there should be a program of preservation and restoration relative

to the 'Street furniture' used in the neighborhood, including the street signs, lamp standards, traffic signals, and so forth. We realize that there are modern standards, but would suggest that application of these standards in an historic district is to be avoided.

SPECIFIC PLANS FOR FY 2015 through 2022

CATEGORY	TOTAL PROJECTED	CPC Request for 2021	%
City of Homes Livings Museum*			
Budget Items Interpretive Signs Museum Space at Kibbe Stabilization of Washburn Space	\$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 100,000	\$ 25,000 \$ 12,500 \$ 50,000	
	\$ 850,000	\$ 62,500	7%
Historic Restoration Loans & Grants	\$1,025,000	\$125,000**	7%
Restoration of "Dummy light" at St James (A diagram of a 1920's light is atta of the light that existed there, the which still holds up the modern si	\$ 25,000	12%	
Restoration of "Sledding Hill" at the Dingle (An estimate provided by a local late attached but the cost estimate is a cover inflation and municipal bidd Construction of a Permanent Building and at Magazine Park, including easels	\$ 50,000		
decorated by local artists	s, and backboards	\$100,000	
Common Areas	\$2,000,000**	\$175,000	9%
Total Program	\$3,875,000	\$362,500	9%

- * Amounts shown include restoration work amounts expended since 2015 at the Kibbe House and the Dodge-Howard House that was moved for preservation. All requests are for historic preservation. Housing is funded separately.
- ** The \$25,000 approved by the Committee for the revolving fund is included in this figure, while the \$200,000 provided to the Planning Dept. is not included, as it is as yet unclear to what degree these funds will address needed projects.
- *** This includes funds being sought from other sources, including transportation allocations, and projected applications for "Place creation", energy system applications, etc. We note that we project further applications in the future, in various program areas, and we will be happy to share this information with the committee as it develops.





